

Nowadays celebrities earn more money than politicians. What are the reasons for this? Is it a negative or positive development?

Recently, celebrities have been rather affluent ~~than compared to~~ the past by various factors, even more than politicians with huge pressures that they hold during their true vocation. This trend could change the people's mind in a negative or positive way ~~that~~ as to whether celebrities deserve what they earn.

Since the rise of ~~the~~ internet and unlimited access to popular social media services, celebrities are becoming more well-known among public. People follow their lifestyle or the process of their performing, singing or walking through the catwalks because they seem magnificent and enthralling due to their extraordinary talents and skills which can be quite different as they are in their real life. On the other hand, their acts and particularly songs influence people's feelings and emotions inspiring and motivating them to ~~make harbor~~ a strong hope of new opportunities and better future when they connect the lyric or valuable notes ~~deprived-~~ ~~derived~~ from prominent writers. Therefore, celebrities have become extremely lucrative for commercial industries investing for their activities to attract the majority of ~~the~~ world's population paying for the contents by subscribing or watching paid advertisements, hence the importance of new technology giving the chance of online shopping for new products.

However, politicians governing the country with severe responsibility usually are less paid than celebrities ~~which who has have~~ brought the thought of injustice and unfair super wages among the modern communities, so statesmen may flinch from their duties as a result of the belief of inequitable distribution of incomes or tend to be ~~ing~~ celebrities as many did in the past without adequate abilities. Also, this trend ~~have has~~ had negative results within societies especially young adults because their sense of envy to be ~~ing~~ entitled for such an extravagant lifestyle like actors and singers would make them far away from their purposes.

In conclusion, this development could include more benefits if celebrities share ~~d~~ the difficulties of their procedures in their relatively short career as well as little privacy among their living with public, individuals will ~~be persuaded d~~ to ~~recognition-recognize~~ the dedication and creativity of a person needing to be successful.

1 hour-reading 2 articles

The easy answer is that we are not really choosing to pay them more - it's the market. We don't set the pay, it's just a side-effect of how the market works. But that's sort of a cop-out because we choose to let the market decide who gets paid a lot. And if the market outcomes don't seem fair to us, then we can do something about it.

The fact the market tends to be so generous to some people just because their industry is a winner-takes-all market is one reason high taxes on high earners might be fair sometimes. If half of a morning show hosts' millions are taxed, it's not necessarily a tax on hard work - it's partly a tax on good luck.